

# Towards a shared global monitoring framework: defining a role for Sanitation and Water for All

Clarissa Brocklehurst, Senior Advisor to SWA Secretariat  
Second WHO/UNICEF Consultation on the Formulation of  
Post-2015 WASH Goals, Targets and Indicators

The Hague, December 2012

# What do we monitor?

- **Inputs** – money, people, etc.
- **Sector processes** – policy, strategy, government planning, monitoring and evaluation
- **Outputs** – numbers of schemes, facilities, hygiene promotion programs
- **Outcomes** – people using improved water supplies and sanitation facilities and practicing improved hygiene

# What levels to we want to monitor at?

- Subnational
- National
- Regional
- Global

# Global monitoring landscape

	<b>Inputs</b> Public /Donor Finance, Cost recovery (TTT), Human Resources	<b>Sector Processes</b> Policy, Strategy, Planning, Budgeting, M&E	<b>Outputs</b> Water Schemes, Sanitation facilities, Sanitation / Hygiene Promotion , WASH in schools and health facilities	<b>Outcomes</b> People using improved facilities and practicing hygiene
<b>Sub-national</b> (district, province, state)				
<b>Country</b>				
<b>Regional</b>				
<b>Global</b>				

# The Global monitoring landscape is crowded!

	<b>Inputs</b> Public /Donor Finance, Cost recovery (TTT), Human Resources	<b>Sector Processes</b> Policy, Strategy, Planning, Budgeting, M&E	<b>Outputs</b> Water Schemes, Sanitation facilities, Sanitation / Hygiene Promotion , WASH in schools and health facilities	<b>Outcomes</b> People using improved facilities and practicing hygiene	
<b>Sub-national</b> (district, province, state)	Sector Information and Monitoring Systems			Some household surveys	
	Programme and Project-based monitoring , waterpoint mapping				
<b>Country</b>	Sector Information and Monitoring Systems Joint Sector Reviews			Large Household Surveys (DHS, MICS)	
	Country Status Overview (CSOs)	Monitoring of WASH in schools		Census	
	Bottleneck Analysis Tool (BAT)		GLAAS Country Profiles		
<b>Regional</b>	Country Status Overviews (CSOs)				
	Monitoring of E-Thekwini and other SANs				
	GLAAS regional snapshots				
<b>Global</b>	Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Water (GLAAS)				
	Monitoring of HLM Commitments		IBNet Utility	Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP)	
	Creditor reporting System				

# Needed: more robust national information systems

- These would provide information on WASH in each local government area for:
  - management decision-making
  - aggregation up to national level
- But - little of existing data can currently be aggregated further up to regional and global level

## What could be done to rationalise the monitoring framework?

1. Strengthen country-led national and subnational monitoring systems
2. Align program and project monitoring with national systems
3. Take advantage of emerging information technology
4. Expand and develop the sector analysis tools (CSOs, BATs, GLAAS and others)
5. Coordinate and rationalize regional and global monitoring

## What could be done to rationalise the monitoring framework?

6. Coordinate and align timing of global data collection
7. Develop a set of common standards and norms for data
8. Ensure robust “feedback loops”
9. Strengthen existing systems in other sectors to provide WASH information
10. Forge strong link between what is committed at SWA High Level Meetings and what is monitored by country-led mechanisms.



# Needed: more robust national information systems

- These would provide information on WASH in each local government area for:
  - management decision-making
  - aggregation up to national level
- But - little of existing data can currently be aggregated further up to regional and global level
- A shared set of data *standards, norms and parameters* would:
  - Ensure countries could be confident that they were collecting data according to best practice
  - Make data comparable across countries and over time
  - Allow data to be absorbed into regional and global monitoring systems

# A shared global monitoring framework

1. A shared vision of the **goals and principles** of monitoring
2. An inventory of the **key monitoring initiatives** that make up the framework
3. A menu of the **types of monitoring**
4. A **range of methods** used for data collection and analysis
5. A set of agreed, **common standards and norms** for monitoring information
6. **Shared use of monitoring information**

# The Role of JMP and GLAAS

- JMP continues to provide systematic global tracking of sector outcomes (people served)
- GLAAS aggregates data from a number of sources into an authoritative global report on sector inputs and processes that complements the JMP
- GLAAS needs to be supported by a *strong sector analysis tool at country level*, supported by a national management information system

# What can SWA do as a Partnership?

- Facilitate **consensus** on a shared global monitoring framework across the entire sector
- Increase **coordination** of monitoring between development stakeholders who are SWA partners
- Support efforts to find **new and improved ways** to monitor challenging aspects
- Support efforts to **strengthen national and sub-national monitoring** and **analysis of sector bottlenecks**
- Facilitate the development of a shared set of **standards and norms** for monitoring data
- Encourage donor partners to use financial and access data to **better target assistance**
- Use monitoring information to **advocate for WASH**

## Some suggestions from the SWA online discussions:

- Ensure that monitoring includes tracking of *finance*, including *aid effectiveness*
- Ensure a clear link between monitoring and *commitments* made at various levels
- Use tools such as CSOs and BATs to “kick start” strengthening of national systems
- Reflect that countries need to see *short term improvements* in monitoring, but overall timeframe may be *very long*

## Conclusion from the Partnership Meeting:

**SWA has an important role to play in  
streamlining the global monitoring  
framework**

Vision: coherent national-regional-global monitoring system focused on the **needs of countries**, suitable for

- **decision making**
- **aggregation** from sub-national to national to regional to global level

# SWA Steering Committee

## Decision: create a Task Team on Harmonizing Global Monitoring

Work to long term vision – long time frame

Focus on two important and inter-linked tasks at first

### 1. Harmonization

- Start with monitoring initiatives focused on sector inputs and processes (CSO, BAT, GLAAS, GOAL WASH etc)

### 2. Standardization

- Develop shared set of norms and standards for a small number of relevant parameters (“ISO Standard”)



**Sanitation  
and Water  
for All**

**Thank you!**

